pag



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/083,278	05/22/1998	YOJI FUJIWARA	041-2013	3784	
22429 - 75	590 / 04/10/2003				
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN AND BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300 /310			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
			ZIMMERMAN, BRIAN A		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2635	0.7	
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2003	22	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/083,278	FUJIWARA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian A Zimmerman	2635
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stone and the period for reply will, by stone and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re t. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB/	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>23 December 2002</u> .	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-6 and 8-25</u> is/are pending in the	• •	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-6,8-25</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an Application Papers	nd/or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b)⊡ objected to by th	ne Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		• •
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ di	sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, coπected drawings are required in	' '	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in Ap	pplication No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has be	en received. SS 120 and/or 121
Attachment(s)	p under 00 0.0.0.	ana an 12.1.
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notice	5) 🔲 Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2635

EXAMINER'S RESPONSE

Status of Application

In response to the applicant's amendment received on 12/23/02 and entered upon filing of the RCE 2/3/03. The examiner has considered the new presentation of claims and applicant arguments in view of the disclosure and the present state of the prior art. And it is the examiner's position that claims 3-6,8-25 are unpatentable for the reasons set forth in this office action:

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Double Patenting

1. Claims 18 and 22 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 17 and 21 (respectively). When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Applicant is advised that should claims 17 and 21 be found allowable, claims 18 and 22 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after

Art Unit: 2635

allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 11,12,14,15,20,23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the WO publication to Motorola (WO 96/06417, hereafter referred to as the Motorola Publication) in view of Wong (5394140).

The Motorola publication shows a pager, which receives codes. A first portion of a received code is compared to a stored address to detect if the message is directed to the particular paging receiver, page 4 lines 20+. A second portion of the codes is used to convey display information to the user (page 4 lines 34+), and a third portion of the codes is used to activate a sound generator to audibly generate recalled tones to be heard by the user for presenting an audible composition to the user (page 4 lines 35+). It remains the examiner's position that the Motorola Publication does generate a series of tones where at least one tone has a frequency that is at least a portion of the chromatic scale. The chromatic scale is a series of notes or tones that can be used to generate or write an audible composition. It remains the examiner's position that the Motorola Publication would in fact generate at least one tone that would exist on the Chromatic Scale.

In the alternative, it is well known that the chromatic scale is a group of notes that can be used to create music or an audible composition much like the various forms of the English Language. Similarly, it is clear the a musical or audible composition for

Art Unit: 2635

alerting would have been obvious in view of the Motorola Publication regardless of the exact notes or the exact manner in which to express or "write" those notes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use musical notes from different scales in the audible generated composition since such would have been common techniques to use different notes to generate a composition.

In an analogous art, Wong shows a pager, which generates audible messages in response to received message codes that are interpreted in view of stored corresponding codes. Wong shows displaying the call back number on the display, col. 3 lines 54-55. Wong also shows associating the call back number with an audio tone in a table format. See abstract and figure 2. This permits the user to have some creative control over how the audible composition is presented. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used an input means on the pager in order to permit the user to creatively control the audible output of an composition discussed in the Motorola document.

3. Claims 3-6,13,16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Motorola Publication and Wong as applied to claims 11,14, above, and further in view of Fisch (4873520).

In an analogous art, Fisch shows voice message pager. The pager of Fisch uses voice as the audible composition, in order to convey addition information to the user upon retrieval or playing of the message. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used voice as the audible

Art Unit: 2635

composition in the above discusses system in order to convey additional information regarding the message.

4. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Motorola publication, Wong and Fisch as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Kawashima (5332994).

In an analogous art, Kawashima shows audible message pager. The pager of Kawashima uses the audible composition to convey addition information to the user. Kawashima uses a timer 12 to limit the time interval that the selected tone is generated; this provides protection to the power supply in that the audible generator does not drain the battery. It is also noted that stop commands are verily common in POCSAG systems. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used a timer to limit the audible composition in the above discusses system in order to prevent excessive battery drain.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Wong shows a pager, which generates audible messages in response to received message codes that are interpreted in view of stored corresponding codes. Wong shows displaying the call back number on the display, col. 3 lines 54-55. Wong

Art Unit: 2635

also shows associating the call back number with an audio tone in a table format. See abstract and figure 2.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian A Zimmerman whose telephone number is 703-305-4796. The examiner can normally be reached on Off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Horabik can be reached on 703-305-4704. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Brian A Zimmerman Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 2635

BaZ April 7, 2003

2